

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,143	03/31/2004	Angel Stoyanov	WEYE121925/25324	8224
28624 7	590 03/22/2006		EXAM	INER
	USER COMPANY	CORDRAY, DENNIS R		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT., CH 1J27 P.O. BOX 9777		T., CH 1J27	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	AY, WA 98063		1731	

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action					
Before	the	Filing	of an	Appeal	Brief

	Dennis coraray	1.5.	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence	address
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 March 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to this application, applicant must timely file one of the places the application in condition for allowance; (2 a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in con time periods:	e following replies: (1) an 2) a Notice of Appeal (with npliance with 37 CFR 1.1	amendment, affidavit, or other ever appeal fee) in compliance with 3	vidence, which 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of no event, however, will the statutory period for reply Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box	expire later than SIX MONTH	IS from the mailing date of the final re	ejection.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See M	/IPEP 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the pericunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 NOTICE OF APPEAL	od of extension and the corre of the shortened statutory perice later than three months a	sponding amount of the fee. The apperiod for reply originally set in the fina	propriate extension fee al Office action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or an a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be 	ny extension thereof (37 C	FR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			4.6
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection They raise new issues that would require fund They raise the issue of new matter (see NOT 	ther consideration and/or FE below);	search (see NOTE below);	•
(c) They are not deemed to place the application appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or simplify	ying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding num	nber of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.		• •	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 C		lotice of Non-Compliant Amendm	nent (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment how the new or amended claims would be rejected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	d is provided below or app	red, or b)	an explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-13.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	ti late for a consultation	deter of Clinary a Nation of Amenda	will not be entered
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final act because applicant failed to provide a showing of g was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ood and sufficient reason	s why the affidavit or other evide	nce is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of entered because the affidavit or other evidence fair showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	iled to overcome <u>all</u> reject ecessary and was not earl	ions under appeal and/or appella ier presented. See 37 CFR 41.3	ınt fails to provide a 3(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An expREQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	lanation of the status of t	he daims after entry is below or a	attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been consider	ered but does NOT place	the application in condition for al	lowance because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem 13. ☒ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	ent(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PT	O-1449) Paper No(s)	

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

The Declaration filed under 37 CFR 1.131 is not considered timely because it was not submitted according to the following conditions (see MPEP 715.09):

- (A) prior to a final rejection;
- (B) before appeal in an application not having a final rejection;
- (C) after final rejection, but before or on the same date of filing an appeal, upon a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented in compliance with 37 CFR 1.116(e); or
- (D) after the prosecution is closed (e.g., after a final rejection, after appeal, or after allowance) if applicant files the affidavit or other evidence with a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 in a utility or plant application filed on or after June 8, 1995; or a continued prosecution application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) in a design application.

With regard to the Declaration, the description of the referenced notebook pages 78, 79, 83 and 84 (Attached Exhibits A, B, C and D respectively) in paragraphs 4a, c and e, is inconsistent with the submitted atachments. The submitted attachments appear to be pages 79, 80, 84 and 85 of the referenced notebook.

With regard to the subject matter of U.S. Patent Application Publication, US 2003/0208859 ("the Neogi reference") used in the prior Final Rejection, dated December 1, 2005, was used only to teach that bleaching elevates whiteness. The use of bleaching to elevate whiteness is well known and would be obvious to one skilled in the art (see for instance Haylock, "Paper, Its making, merchanting and usage" 3rd ed, The National Association of Paper Merchants, London, 1974, p 46, 1st paragraph under Bleaching). The definition of "bleach" in Hawley's Condensed Chamical Dictionary, 14th ed (2002) is "To whiten a textile or paper by chemical action."

Continuation of 13. Other: The rejection set forth in the prior Office Action, dated December 1, 2005, is maintained.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700